## COST REVIEW TEMPLATE AND GUIDANCE FOR PROJECT OFFICERS **GRANTS UNDER 40 CFR Part 35 Subpart A**

(Electronically attach the completed form to the Funding Recommendation in IGMS, then print and retain a copy in the program grant file) You may include comments at the end of each section as necessary.

Application/Grant Number:

|   |   |                        | George Robin |                                 |                          |  |
|---|---|------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
|   | Application is for:   | (X)□ <u>nev</u>        | v funding    | □ supplementa                   | al funding               |  |
| For purposes of this cost review:   |   |                        |              |                                 |                          |  |
| <ul><li>"Current Application Request"</li><li>"Previous Application Reques</li></ul>  |   |                        |              |                                 |                          |  |
|   | s for a PPG, does the rec<br>programs as the current                                | •                      | YES          | NO                              | N/A (x)                  |  |
|   | difference in programs in   |                        |              |                                 |                          |  |
| IF YES, the applie  | esignated as "high-risk?"<br>cant must submit and EP.<br>Il and travel requests und |                        |              |                                 |                          |  |
| Budget Category Guidance: Personnel   | Cost Revie  | ew Detail: Per         | rsonnel      |                                 |                          |  |
| If the applicant has a personnel management with adequate internal controls, EPA will base its requested personnel costs on the previous applic request. Costs within 10% of the previous applicated request will be deemed necessary and reasonabl Applicants must explain variations greater that their narrative, or in a separate, written format. P provide notations as to where the applicant's explana be found.          | review of A. Is the ation Yes ation e. 10% in Os should                             | s <u>``</u> X          | No If I      |                                 | Amount 732,506 N/A N/A   |  |
| The applicant should provide "the estimated years and funding amounts for each workplan con (40 CFR 35.107(b)(2)(ii)). These categories should to the applicant's personnel management and but requirements and include only those costs paid the applicant's payroll systems. Project Officers should determine whether changes in the number of FTE requested are appropriate and necessary to compapplication's scope of work. | mponent."  Ild conform  dgeting  Irough the  Ild also  C. T                         | IO, did the app<br>Yes | No I         | — —<br>n acceptable explanation | No<br>on for the change? |  |

| Budget Category Guidance: Travel  | Cost Review Detail: Travel  |                    |                      |
|---|---|--------------------|----------------------|
| If the applicant has a travel management system with adequate internal controls, EPA will base its review of requested travel costs on the previous application request. Costs within 10% of the previous application request will be   | A. Is the applicant proposing travel a YesX No <i>If</i>  |                    | :                    |
| deemed necessary and reasonable.  |   | plication Request  | Amount 64,483 25,588 |
| The applicant's narrative should include a brief description of the purpose and types of travel. For example, travel is   |   | Change in Requests | 152                  |
| for inspections, conference and meeting attendance. This description should conform to the applicant's travel management and budgeting requirements. Foreign travel approvals are part of the Funding Recommendation and Grant Specialist Checklists and must be listed separately and be clearly identified. | B. Is the amount within the 10% limit? Yes NoX  C. If NO, did the applicant provide an acceptable explanation for the change? YesX No N/A  C.1 If YES, where is explanation located? Located in the Project Officer file. |                    |                      |
| Applicants must explain variations greater than 10% in their narrative, or in a separate, written format. POs should provide notations as to where the applicant's explanation may be found.  |   |                    |                      |

| Budget Category Guidance: Equipment   | Cost Review Detail: Equipment   |
|---|---|
| This category includes only equipment proposed to be purchased as a direct cost of the award. Equipment is defined as tangible, non-expendable, personal property having a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of \$5,000 or more per unit although a lower dollar amount threshold that applies to both its federal and non-federal activities can be established by the applicant. Equipment also includes accessories necessary to make the equipment operational.  This category does not include (1) equipment planned to be leased/rented, including lease/purchase agreements, or (2) equipment service or maintenance contracts. These types of proposed expenditures should be included in the "OTHER" category.  For non-State applicants (e.g., local air districts), if a planned procurement will be sole source, a justification and a cost-price analysis must be included in the applicant's files.  POs also should make a recommendation as to the final disposition of equipment, which will be included as a term | A. Is the applicant proposing the purchase of equipment as a direct cost under the award?  YesX No If NO, go to SUPPLIES.  B. Are the proposed costs necessary and reasonable to carry out the applicant's proposed scope of work? Yes No  C. Are the costs allowable under applicable program guidance, statute, and regulation?  Yes No  D. If a non-State applicant, are sole-source procurements indicated?  Yes No N/A  D.1 If YES, have you informed the applicant of sole-source and cost-price analysis requirements?  Yes No N/A |
| and condition in the award document.  Budget Category Guidance: Supplies  | Cost Review Detail: Supplies  |
| Supplies are tangible personal property other than equipment. This distinction is based on the anticipated acquisition cost.  Applicants must identify general materials and supplies to be purchased for use under the award and provide information on the estimated aggregate costs of each category or type, e.g., office supplies, laboratory supplies, etc. Any single item valued at \$5,000 or more in this category should be moved to the "EQUIPMENT" category. Services associated with supplies, such as printing services or photocopy services, and rental costs should be budgeted under the "OTHER" category.   | A. Is the applicant proposing supplies as a direct cost under the award?  YesX No If NO, go to CONTRACTUAL.  B. Are the proposed costs necessary and reasonable to carry out the applicant's proposed scope of work? YesX No  C. Are the costs allowable under applicable program guidance, statute, and regulation?  YesX No   |

| Budget Category Guidance: Contractual  | Cost Review Detail: Contractual  |
|--|--|
| Contractual or consultant services are those services to be carried out by a non-Federal party, whether an individual or organization, other than the recipient or its employees, in the form of a procurement relationship. A direct procurement relationship is characterized by provision of goods and services routinely offered in the marketplace and that are necessary to complete the proposed scope of work. Leased or rented goods (equipment or supplies) and subawards should be addressed in the "OTHER" category.  The applicant should describe the contracts, including the scope of work or services to be provided, including proposed duration, and proposed procurement method (competitive or non-competitive (sole-source)), if known.  As provided in 40 CFR 31.36(a), for procurements under EPA grants, States will follow the same policies and procedures they use for procurements using non-Federal funds.  For non-State applicants (e.g., local air districts), if a planned procurement will be sole source, a justification and a cost-price analysis must be included in the applicant's files. | <ul> <li>A. Is the applicant proposing to acquire contractual/consultant services as a direct cost under the award? Yes NoX If NO, go to CONSTRUCTION.</li> <li>B. Are the proposed costs necessary and reasonable to carry out the applicant's proposed scope of work? Yes No</li> <li>C. Are the costs allowable under applicable program guidance, statute, and regulation? Yes No</li> <li>D. If a non-state applicant, are sole-source procurements indicated? Yes No N/A</li> <li>D.1 If YES, have you informed the applicant of sole-source and cost-price analysis requirements? Yes No N/A</li> </ul> |
|  |  |
| Budget Category Guidance: Construction   | Cost Review Detail: Construction   |
| Typically not included in program awards.  However, for awards where construction is directly contracted for by the applicant as part of a demonstration project or using Clean Water Act Section 319 funds, the costs should be included under the "CONTRACTUAL"  | Is the applicant proposing construction costs as a direct cost under the award?  Yes NoX  If YES, costs must be categorized in the CONTRACTUAL category.   |

category.

| Budget Category Guidance: Other   | Cost Review Detail: Other   |
|---|---|
| This category should include only those types of direct costs that do not fit in any of the other budget categories.  Examples of typical costs that may be in this category are:  insurance and indemnification  rental of space, equipment, or supplies  printing  publication  postage  utilities  telephone  This category should include only the costs which are not categorized elsewhere, including any potential subaward work.  Subawards are a distinct type of cost under this category. The term "subaward" means an award of financial assistance (money or property) by any legal agreement made by the recipient to an eligible subrecipient. This term does not include procurement relationships, technical assistance in the form of services instead of money, or other assistance in the form of revenue sharing, loans, loan guarantees, interest subsidies, insurance, or direct appropriations.  Applicants should provide the aggregate amount they propose to issue as subaward work and a description of the | <ul> <li>A. Is the applicant proposing any other types of costs as direct costs under the award?-Yes _X No If NO, go to QUESTIONED COSTS.</li> <li>B. Are the proposed costs necessary and reasonable to carry out the applicant's proposed scope of work? Yes _X No</li> <li>C. Are the costs allowable under applicable program guidance, statute, and regulations? Yes _X No</li> <li>D. Does this award include any subaward work? Yes No _X If NO, go to QUESTIONED COSTS.</li> <li>D.1 Are there any programmatic eligibility restrictions on who may perform proposed subaward work? Yes No</li> <li>D.2 Is the proposed subaward work for authorized assistance purposes (i.e., not to acquire goods or services for use by the applicant)? Yes No</li> <li>D.3 Is the proposed subaward work necessary to meet the objectives of the application? Yes No</li> <li>D.4 Has the recipient been notified of the national term and condition for subawards (including the requirement to obtain EPA's consent before making a subaward to a foreign or international organization, or any entity performing subaward work in a foreign country)? Yes No</li> </ul> |
| types of activities to be supported.  Questioned Costs  | Questioned Costs  |
|   | Did the cost review analysis result in questioned costs that required the applicant to submit a revised budget sheet?  Yes NoX If "Yes," please provide a brief narrative on the questioned costs and the resolution of the questioned costs.   |
| Cost Review Analysis Summary:   |   |
|   | as conducted in accordance with cost review principles set forth in applicable EPA cost review guidance. rofessional judgment of the undersigned reviewer after analysis of the grant application, programmatic   |
| Project Officer Name George Robin   | Project Officer Signature Date 12-27-12   |

| Comments, Questions and Remarks  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| If you have any comments, questions or remarks about any costs during your review, you may enter them in this box. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |